

Are patients with autoimmune disorders eligible for immunotherapy?



Dear Editor,

Positive results from recent clinical trials led to the approval of immunotherapy based on checkpoint inhibitors (ICI) for a variety of cancers.¹ The ICI-class drugs include anti-PD-1 (nivolumab, pembrolizumab), anti-PD-L1 (atezolizumab, durvalumab, avelumab), and anti-cytotoxic T lymphocyte-associated antigen (CTLA-4) agents (ipilimumab, tremelimumab).² It is important to mention that all trials leading to the approval of CTLA-4 or PD-1/PD-L1 blocking agents excluded patients with pre-existing active autoimmune disorders (AD) from enrolment,¹ because of the potential for increased severe toxicity, such as fulminant myocarditis. Having an overactive immune system is the main reason why many patients with cancer and AD have not been included in hundreds of clinical trials of immunotherapy drugs.

Up to 80% of patients under ICI experience inflammatory and immunorelated adverse events (irAEs) in various organs that can be detrimental to patient outcomes.³ The actual mechanism of ICI-induced toxicities remains poorly defined, as does the exact mechanism of several AD.

AD represent a spectrum of conditions with a common denominator: the (auto) immune attack and damage of normal tissues, either localized in individual organs, systems, or widespread (systemic). More than 80 distinct AD have been identified, including some diseases with moderately high prevalence such as rheumatoid arthritis, and inflammatory bowel disease.¹ As the use of ICI expands to more types of cancer, the need to determine the risk-benefit ratio in patients with cancer and pre-existing AD is increasing. The number of studies and clinical cases reported is growing. Khan et al. conducted a study in the University of Texas in order to calculate the incidence of AD in the population of lung cancer patients older than 65. Approximately 14% to 25% of the enrolled patients had an AD. The most common AD diseases in the patients studied were rheumatoid arthritis (5.9%), psoriasis (2.8%), and polymyalgia rheumatic (1.8%).⁴ A more recent paper by Khozin et al.,⁵ also presents a high value of prevalence of AD in lung cancer patients (22%). Potential explanations included in these articles, for the relatively high rate of AD among patients with lung cancer include advanced age at diagnosis and smoking history, which has been linked to higher risk of certain AD.⁴

In this context, the authors describe two clinical cases of patients with AD, who were treated with immunotherapy, without adverse effects.

Case 1

The authors present the case of a 68-year-old male with a diagnosis of squamous cell carcinoma, initially in stage IIIC (T4N3M0). He had a history of asymptomatic systemic lupus erythematosus, diagnosed at the age of 48. At the

time of the lung cancer diagnosis, he was being treated with hydroxychloroquine and corticosteroids for dyslipidaemia, COPD and high blood pressure. The patient was treated with first-line platinum and gemcitabine doublet, after decision in a multidisciplinary meeting, because of the large size of the tumour. Docetaxel was used as second line therapy, after evidence of imagiological progression, and because of the PD-L1 negative expression on tumour cells, Nivolumab was started after disease progression. After four months of immunotherapy, without adverse effects or flare of lupus, imagological confirmation of the progression led to the suspension of therapy.

Case 2

A 63-year-old male with a diagnosis of squamous cell carcinoma, initially tumour stage IVA (T2bN3M1a), due to pleural, pulmonary and ganglionic contralateral mediastinal metastasis is described. The patient had history of psoriasis since he was 50 years old, and smoking habits. At the time of the lung cancer diagnosis, his AD was stable only on topical corticotherapy. The patient was treated with first-line platinum and gemcitabine doublet and, after disease progression, because of the PD-L1 negative expression on tumour cells, Nivolumab was started as second line therapy. The patient is currently in the 6th month of immunotherapy, with stability of the imagological findings. During the entire course of treatment with nivolumab, his psoriasis remained stable without the need for systemic immunosuppression.

Several published articles have described the use of ICI in patients with AD, similar to the cases reported in this article. (Table 1) In a group of 52 patients with pre-existing AD and advanced melanoma, treated with PD-1 antibodies (either pembrolizumab or nivolumab), twenty (38%) patients flared after a median of 1.3 months after the initiation of PD-1 antibody treatment, including 7/13 with rheumatoid arthritis, 3/3 with polymyalgia rheumatica, 2/2 with Sjogren's syndrome, 1/2 with scleroderma, 2/2 with immune thrombocytopenic purpura, 3/8 with psoriasis, and 1/4 with Graves' disease.¹ Khunger et al., reported two cases of patients with Psoriasis, who were treated with Nivolumab for up to 6 months, without worsening of the underlying condition.

Leonardi et al.⁶ also conducted a study in 56 patients with NSCLC and AD who received a PD-L1 inhibitor. A total of 55% of patients developed an AD flare and/or an irAE. Exacerbation of the AD occurred in 13 patients. Immune-related adverse events occurred in 21 patients (38%). PD-L1 therapy was permanently discontinued in eight patients because of irAEs.

Kennedy et al. summarized the 8 largest retrospective case series that specifically evaluated patients with pre-existing autoimmunity who underwent treatment with ICIs. The patients in these case series had a wide variety of well-controlled AD, making it difficult to draw conclusions about safety regarding a specific disorder. irAEs and autoimmune exacerbations occurred in a minority of patients.²

Based on the limited safety data from the published case series, recommendations regarding which patients may be appropriate for considering ICI therapy in the setting of

Table 1 Some retrospective case series evaluating patients with AD and ICI use. Adapted from Laura et al.

Study	Number ^a	Tumour	ICI	AD flare	irAE rates ^b	Discontinuation
Tison et al ¹	112	Melanoma (59%) NSCLC (35%) Other (6)	PD-1/PD-L1 85% of patients	42%	38%Gr 3–5 16%	Not stated
Leonardi et al ²	56	NSCLC	PD-1/PD-L1	23%	38%Gr 3/4 10%	14%
Menzies et al ³	52	Melanoma	PD-1	38%	29%Gr 3/4 10%	12%
Danlos et al ⁴	45	Melanoma (80%) NSCLC (13%) Other 7%	PD-1	24%	22%	9%
Johnson et al ⁵	29	Melanoma	PD-1	24%	31%Gr 3–5 31%	Not stated
Gutzmer et al ⁶	19	Melanoma	PD-1	42%	16%	0%
Richter et al ⁷	16	Melanoma NSCLC NHL	PD-1 (69%) Ipilimumab 31%	6%	38%Gr 3/4 25%	31%
Lee et al ⁸	8	Melanoma	Ipilimumab	75%	50%Gr 3/4 50%	62.5%

^a Includes patients study with preexisting autoimmune disease.

^b Excludes immunotherapy-related events that were felt to be an exacerbation of the patient's underlying autoimmune disorder.

1 – Tison A, Quere G, Misery L, et al. OP0196 safety and efficacy of immune checkpoint inhibitors in patients with cancer and preexisting autoimmune diseases: a nationwide multicenter retrospective study [abstract]. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2018;77:Abstract 147.

2 – Leonardi GC, Gainor JF, Altan M, et al. Safety of programmed death-1 pathway inhibitors among patients with non-small-cell lung cancer and preexisting autoimmune disorders. *J Clin Oncol* 2018;36:1905–1912.

3 – Menzies AM, Johnson DB, Ramanujam S, et al. Anti-PD-1 therapy in patients with advanced melanoma and preexisting autoimmune disorders or major toxicity with ipilimumab. *Ann Oncol* 2017;28:368–376.

4 – Danlos FX, Voisin AL, Dyevre V, et al. Safety and efficacy of anti-programmed death 1 antibodies in patients with cancer and pre-existing autoimmune or inflammatory disease. *Eur J Cancer* 2018;91:21–29.

5 – Johnson DB, Sullivan RJ, Ott PA, et al. Ipilimumab therapy in patients with advanced melanoma and preexisting autoimmune disorders. *JAMA Oncol* 2016;2:234–240.

6 – Gutzmer R, Koop A, Meier F, et al. Programmed cell death protein-1 (PD-1) inhibitor therapy in patients with advanced melanoma and preexisting autoimmunity or ipilimumab-triggered autoimmunity. *Eur J Cancer* 2017;75:24–32.

7 – Richter MD, Pinkston O, Kottschade LA, et al. Brief report: cancer immunotherapy in patients with preexisting rheumatic disease: the Mayo Clinic experience. *Arthritis Rheumatol* 2018;70:356–360.

8 – Lee B, Wong A, Kee D, et al. The use of ipilimumab in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and metastatic melanoma. *Ann Oncol* 2016;27: 1174–1177.

pre-existing AD were made.⁷ Patients with neurologic AD, such as myasthenia gravis, or life-threatening AD should not be considered candidates for ICI therapy. Patients receiving high levels of immunosuppression should also be approached with caution. Patients with pre-existing AD who could be considered for ICI treatment include those with a non-life-threatening AD, who have good control on either no immunosuppression or relatively low levels of immunosuppressive therapy.

It is recommended that a multidisciplinary team should be involved in the decision to initiate ICI therapy, with close monitoring and clear consideration of the severity of the underlying autoimmunity, prognosis of cancer, alternative therapeutic options, and a clear understanding of patients' preferences with respect to the risks and benefits of the various options. Finally, it is important to continue to expand understanding of the pathogenesis of irAEs and improve the ability to predict and manage irAEs.

Conflicts of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

- Donia M, Pedersen M, Svane MI. Cancer immunotherapy in patients with preexisting autoimmune disorders. *Semin Immunopathol.* 2017;39:333–7, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00281-016-0595-8>.
- Kennedy LC, Bhatia S, Thompson JA, Grivas P. Preexisting autoimmune disease: implications for immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy in solid tumors. *JNCCN.* 2019;17.
- Abdel-Wahab N, Shah M, Lopez-Olivo MA, Suarez-Almazor ME. Use of immune checkpoint inhibitors in the treatment of patients with cancer and preexisting autoimmune disease. *Ann Int Med.* 2018, <http://dx.doi.org/10.7326/M17-2073>.
- Khan SA, Pruitt SL, Xuan L, Gerber DE. Prevalence of autoimmune disease among patients with lung cancer: implications for immunotherapy treatment options. *JAMA Oncol.* 2016;2.
- Khazin S, Walker MS, Jun M, Chen L, Stepanski E, Rubinstein WS, et al. Real-world outcomes of patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer (aNSCLC) and autoimmune disease (AD) receiving immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs). *JCO.* 2019;37 Suppl.
- Leonardi GC, Gainor JF, Altan M, Kravets S, Dahlberg SE, Gedmintas L, et al. Safety of programmed death-1 pathway inhibitors among patients with non-small-cell lung cancer and preexisting autoimmune disorders. *JCO.* 2017, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2017.77.0305>.
- Khunger M, Calabrese C, Kontzias A, Velcheti V. To treat or not to treat: role of immunotherapy in patients with concomitant diag-

nosis of advanced-stage non-small cell lung cancer and psoriasis. *J Thorac Oncol.* 2017;12.

D. Madama*, A. Pego

Pulmonology Department, University Hospital of Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal

* Corresponding author.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pulmoe.2020.04.019>
2531-0437/ © 2020 Sociedade Portuguesa de Pneumologia.
Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Drug reaction with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms syndrome associated with osimertinib



To the Editor,

Drug reaction with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms (DRESS) syndrome is a rare and severe adverse drug reaction, occurring generally about two to eight weeks after the introduction of a causative drug.¹ Cutaneous involvement usually begins with a morbilliform eruption, with cutaneous edema, mainly involving the face, upper trunk, and extremities, spreading from the face to the entire body, with edema of the face being a characteristic finding. Other cutaneous manifestations include vesicles, pustules, erythroderma, and purpuric lesions.² DRESS syndrome can be accompanied by different systemic symptoms including fever, lymphadenopathy, hematological abnormalities, or visceral involvement (kidney, liver, heart, lung, muscle, and even brain). Blood test abnormalities typically persist for several days. DRESS syndrome can be life-threatening and is associated with organ failure, with a described mortality up to 10%, although analysis of prospective data shows a lower mortality rate, around 2%.³ Besides causative drug discontinuation, supportive therapy is generally sufficient and may include antipyretic drugs, systemic antihistamines, and topic corticosteroids. However, in severe cases, with visceral involvement, systemic corticosteroids (0.5–2.0 mg/kg) are usually needed. Aromatic anticonvulsants (e.g. pheny-

toin, carbamazepine, phenobarbital) and sulfonamides are the most frequent drugs associated with DRESS syndrome.³ The authors describe a DRESS syndrome induced by osimertinib, in an advanced EGFR mutated lung cancer patient. This third-generation agent was initially associated with scarce skin toxicity in clinical trials, compared to standard agents. After FLAURA trial results showing higher efficacy of osimertinib compared to standard EGFR-tyrosine kinase inhibitors,⁴ osimertinib became the first-line treatment of EGFR mutation-positive advanced NSCLC,⁵ enhancing the number of patients potentially treated with this agent.

A sixty-year-old woman was initially admitted to the hospital with seizures. The brain scan showed intracranial space-occupying lesions. She started levetiracetam at the end of December 2018 and carbamazepine in January 2019. Investigation revealed a stage IV EGFR mutated (deletion on exon 19) lung adenocarcinoma with the involvement of the central nervous system. She was submitted to radiosurgery and she started systemic therapy with osimertinib (80 mg daily), in early March. After eight days, she was admitted to the hospital with a painful and itchy generalized skin rash on face, neck, trunk, and lower limbs, with preserved skin integrity and with no systemic symptoms. Osimertinib induced skin toxicity was assumed and the drug was stopped. She was discharged from the hospital with topic steroid therapy. But, three weeks after osimertinib suspension, she was readmitted to the hospital with widespread erythema, impaired skin integrity, with no mucosal involvement, and accompanied by fever (Fig. 1). Blood tests revealed eosinophilia (1240/ μ l; 12.7%)



Figure 1 (A, B) — Osimertinib-induced skin lesions. Residual skin desquamation after acute dermatitis.