



www.journalpulmonology.org

BRIEF COMMUNICATION

Does timing of initiation influence acceptance and adherence to NIV in patients with ALS?



M. Vitacca^{a,*}, P. Banfi^b, A. Montini^a, M. Paneroni^a

^a Istituti Clinici Scientifici Maugeri IRCCS, Respiratory Rehabilitation of the Institute of Lumezzane, Brescia, Italy ^b Don Gnocchi Foundation IRCCS Onlus, Milano, Italy

Received 6 March 2019; accepted 7 May 2019 Available online 5 June 2019

KEYWORDS

Home mechanical ventilation; ALS: Motoneuron disease;

Abstract

Background: The role of nocturnal non invasive ventilation (NIV) to prolong tracheostomy-free survival, is still controversial in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) patients and the best timing to initiate NIV is unclear. Objective: As NIV acceptance and adherence can be influenced by many factors, we aimed to compare immediate acceptance and short-term NIV adherence between NIV initiated very early NIV and NIV initiated later. Methods: This is a post hoc analysis of our previous cohort retrospective study of 88 ALS patients: 53 under later NIV (late group - LG) (forced vital capacity [FVC] < 80% pred. at NIV prescription) and 35 under very early NIV (very early group - VEG) (FVC > 80%). We compared hours of NIV use as immediate acceptance of NIV (use \geq 4h/night) and dherence at 4 months post-initiation (defined as use $\geq 4h/night$ or 120h/month). Results: No differences were found between VEG and LG in use of NIV (>5h/night in both groups), immediate acceptance (85.7% vs. 85.0%, p = 0.927) and short-term adherence (81.3%vs. 87.2%, p = 0.469); 39.7% of patients increased their NIV use (35% by >60 min/night). A decline in adherence was observed in 12.5% of patients irrespective of group affiliation. Conclusions: In ALS patients, initiation of very early NIV does not reduce its immediate acceptance or the short-term adherence. However, at least 1 in 10 patients may be at risk of reducing their adherence irrespective of early or late NIV prescription. As still under debate and not conclusive, further literature on early NIV benefit is welcomed. © 2019 Sociedade Portuguesa de Pneumologia. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/bync-nd/4.0/).

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: michele.vitacca@icsmaugeri.it (M. Vitacca).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pulmoe.2019.05.007

2531-0437/© 2019 Sociedade Portuguesa de Pneumologia. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Introduction

The role of nocturnal non invasive ventilation (NIV) or continuous non invasive ventilatory support (CNVS) to prolong tracheostomy-free survival is still controversial in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) patients.^{1,2} Also the synergistic effect of NIV initiation and use of cough assisted devices (CAD) to postpone death with or without a CNVS dependence remains logical, but still unclear.^{1,2} Both Jacobs et al.³ and the EFNS Guidelines² stress the urgent need to evaluate the impact of early NIV initiation on survival in ALS patients.

If the timing of NIV initiation may also influence adherence⁴ is indeed a relevant question: over the years, the literature has not produced sufficient or robust enough evidence. This fact is mainly due to the empirically driven criteria, essentially based on a percentage of predicted FVC, instead of combining it with the maximal inspiratory pressure, which always comes first.^{2,4}

Acceptance of and adherence to NIV can be influenced by site of disease onset, NIV settings and comfort, asynchrony presence, aggressive disease, ALS phenotype, and on top of these psychological defense to clinical status.²⁻⁷ Many patients stop NIV with time since they feel they have not benefited from it while others reconsider NIV use when it relieves their symptoms. Previous studied have demonstrated⁷ that outpatient NIV initiation in ALS is not inferior to inpatient NIV initiation in terms of patients' acceptance and adherence. Recently, it has also been shown⁸ that the mortality risk for ALS patients who are initiated very early on NIV may be lower than for patients initiated at a later stage.

For the present study, the authors compared immediate NIV acceptance and adherence at 4 months between very early group (VEG) and later group (LG). The study was approved by the Ethical Committee (CEC 706, 18 April 2011; 24 January 2017).

Methods

This is a post hoc analysis on previous cohort studies database^{8,9} derived from medical charts of 109 ALS patients admitted in the period 2008–2013 to two (ICS Maugeri Lumezzane (Brescia) and Don Gnocchi Foundation IRCCS Onlus (Milano)) out of the three Italian facilities which participated to the previous study.⁸

Eighty eight patients with ALS diagnosis followed for NIV indication in which the NIV adherence report (hours of nocturnal NIV) was available, were re-analyzed. The patients' *immediate acceptance* of NIV was defined as NIV use \geq 4 h/night within 10 days of initiation to provide a definitive prescription. The patients' *short term adherence* to NIV was defined as NIV use \geq 4 h/night or 120 h/month within 4 months following initiation.⁹ In addition the number of patients whose NIV adherence had declined, or was unchanged, or had increased (both night-time and daytime) with respect to T0, was evaluated.

The ''real life'' decisions to prescribe NIV were sometimes according to guideline recommendations,² sometimes only on the physician's own clinical judgment with initial Pimax or Pemax % impairment.⁸ NIV pressure settings were adjusted so that the patient felt comfortable and could actually tolerate NIV; the hours prescribed per day were the maximum the patient could tolerate.^{8,9}

In the above-mentioned study⁹ and in the present study. patients were arbitrarily divided into two groups⁹ according to the sitting FVC% pred. at the time of NIV prescription: LG with FVC < 80% and VEG with FVC > 80%. NIV initiation was performed with pressure-support ventilators in spontaneous/timed mode with a preset tidal volume (5 ml/Kg) and a fixed back-up respiratory rate (12 breaths/min). NIV trials included choice of the best fitting mask (nasal/oronasal), setting of pressures to maximal patient comfort, and advice to use nocturnal NIV as much as possible. If necessary, the ventilator setting could be further adjusted to optimize nocturnal oximetry/polysomnography, partial pressure of CO₂ (paCO₂) normalization and NIV adherence (at least 4 h/night or 120 h/month of NIV use).^{8,9} During the 4-month of followup, patients were able to contact at any time (both by phone or directly with a face to face visit) the multidisciplinary care team (nursing, respiratory physical therapy, neurological, respiratory and psychological second opinion) to receive support for any reason related to NIV and their clinical condition.

Unpaired *t*-test for continuous variables and proportion tests for categorical and binary variables were used. Due to the retrospective nature of the study, no specific power calculation was made.

Results

A total of 88 patients were studied, 53 in LG and 35 in VEG. No differences were found in the rate of immediate acceptance (Table 1), with more than 5h of NIV use per night in both groups as well. The main reasons for NIV intolerance and poor adherence were mask troubles/claustrophobia (22%), inability to move the mask independently (18%), inadequacy of the caregiver (20%), depressive symptoms with disease and NIV refusal (40%), irrespective of the two groups.

In the surviving patients (n = 79), the rate of short-term adherence was similar (Table 1). In both groups, 44.3% of patients increased their NIV use (39% of these improvers used NIV more than 60 min/night): VEG group increased NIV use in 48.4%, while LG in 43.5% (p = 0.6670). In contrast, in 13.9% patients there was a decline in NIV adherence (in 12.9% of VEG patients and in 15.2% of LG patients, p = 0.7742). No differences were found in survival at the 4-month follow-up. In the VEG, bulbar involvement did not influence negatively NIV adherence at 3 months (81.8% in bulbar and 77.3% in non-bulbar subgroups p = 0.763) while in the LG, bulbar patients adhered better than non-bulbar ones (94.7% vs. 69.7% p = 0.033).

Discussion

To evaluate the possible impact of early NIV initiation on survival in ALS patients we have retrospectively⁹ analyzed when NIV was prescribed as a function of FVC was greater than or less than 80%. This may be considered arbitrary. At the same time discussion of ''survival'' without indicating causes of death is often not relevant. The combination of

Table 1	Anthropometric, functional, clinical characteristics and outcomes of ALS patients according to late vs. early initiation
of NIV.	

	ТО		
	LG (FVC < 80%)	VEG (FVC ≥ 80%)	p
Patients, n	53	35	
Male gender, %	57.0	51.4	0.6055
BMI	24.1 ± 4.8	25.4±4.4	0.2014
Bulbar disease onset, %	33.3	40.0	0.5215
ALS FRS-R score	29.0±9.6	28.8±9.5	0.9084
Riluzole use, %	100	100	
EV1, % pred	55.5±18.0	92.5±17.1	0.000
VC, % pred	53.4±16.4	93.1±12.7	0.000
EV1/FVC	85.7±15.6	84.2±14.0	0.6470
bH	7.4±0.03	7.4 ± 0.02	0.9386
aO_2 , mmHg	78.5±12.2	80.3±8.8	0.4446
baCO ₂ , mmHg	42.2 ± 5.2	39.5±4.0	0.0109
setting of NIV initiation	20.0	20.0	0.0725
	38.0	20.0	0.0735
npatient, %			
	45.0	48.5	0.7473
Dutpatient, %			
	17.0	31.5	0.1124
ome, %	14±4	15±4	0.8430
PAP, cmH ₂ O	10 ± 3	9±6	0.8380
Delta IPAP, cmH_2O	5 ± 3	6±2	0.8890
EPAP, cmH ₂ O	12.9 ± 1.5	13.1±1.1	0.7790
Back up RR, acts/min	7.1±1.4	6.9 ± 1.5	0.6870
Mandatory Tidal Volume*; ml/Kg			
		T1	
mmediate acceptance, %	85.0	85.7	0.9277
Hours of NIV use, n	6.3±2.0	5.5±1.7	0.0889
	0.3 ± 2.0	5.5 ± 1.7 T2-T1	0.0007
urvival, %	88.6	91.4	0.6722
	T2		
atients, n	47	32	
lours of NIV use, n	7.1±4.0	6.0±2.2	0.1584
hort-term adherence, %	87.2	81.3	0.4697
wherence decline $T2 - T1$, <i>n</i> (%)	7 (14.9)	4 (12.5)	0.7742
wherence unchanged $T2 - T1$, n (%)	19 (40.4)	12 (37.5)	0.8171
wherence improved T2 – T1, n (%)	20 (42.6)	15 (46.4)	0.6670
	1 (5)	3 (20)	0.1675
nproved by <60 min, n (%)	. (•)	- ()	
	14 (70)	8 (53)	0.3034
	14 (70)	0 (33)	0.3034
mproved by 60–120 min, <i>n</i> (%)	F (2F)	4 (27)	0.0007
	5 (25)	4 (27)	0.8936
mproved by >120 min, <i>n</i> (%)			

ALS = amyotrophic lateral sclerosis; VEG = very early group; LG = late group; NIV = noninvasive ventilation; FVC = forced vital capacity; BMI = body mass index; ALS FRS-R = ALS Functional Rating Scale Revised; FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in the 1st second; $paO_2 = partial$ arterial oxygen pressure; $paCO_2 = partial$ arterial pressure of carbon dioxide; IPAP = inspiratory positive airway pressure, * = For AVAPS; EPAP expiratory positive airway pressure; RR = respiratory rate; ^ = for volumetric; T0: baseline; T1: 30 days from NIV initiation; T2: 4 months from NIV initiation.

NIV/CNVS and CAD,¹ probably greatly extends survival more than daily NIV or when it actually started.

Anyway, for the present study, we compared the immediate (within 10 days) NIV acceptance and short term adherence at 4 months between patients adapted very early (FVC > 80%) or later (FVC < 80%). In real life experience, patients' symptoms are probably the most important factor for NIV tolerance, whether bulbar or not. There may be

speculation about whether "early" NIV timing could theoretically be refused by patients because they are not yet severely affected (as bulbar ones are) by disease worsening (and hence less compliant) while those coming to treatment later present a higher incidence of complicating factors. Starting NIV progressively when respiratory function is not vet severely compromised may make it less uncomfortable for patients to adhere to NIV. On the other hand, we have recently shown⁹ that very early NIV initiation can postpone death in ALS patients (in particular, in the non-bulbar subgroup). In the present study the majority of patients (85%) became completely confident with the NIV use within 4 months irrespective of early or late NIV initiation. An important practical warning coming from our data is that, after only 4 months after starting NIV a subgroup of patients showed a decline in adherence irrespective of whether initiation was early or late, probably because some patients did not accept their condition rather than the ventilator per se.

As limitations, we can subtitle that (1) longer observation (>4 months) would have been useful to figure out the differences between the two groups because a certain proportion of patients reduced adherence irrespective of group affiliation, (2) no data have been presented on ventilator/patient interaction, asynchrony data, time course of disease aggression or psychological defense mechanism as possible reasons for reduction in immediate or short term NIV acceptance.

In conclusion, very early NIV initiation in ALS does not appear to reduce its immediate acceptance by patients, nor its short-term adherence, compared to later initiation of NIV. However, at least 1 in 10 patients may be at risk of showing a decline in adherence, irrespective of whether the NIV initiation is early or late. As this subject is still under debate and not conclusive, further literature on early NIV benefit is welcomed.

Funding

This work was supported by the ''Ricerca Corrente'' Funding scheme of the Ministry of Health, Italy.

Conflicts of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found in the online version available at doi:10.1016/j.pulmoe.2019.05.007.

References

- Bach JR, Gonçalves MR, Hon AJ, Ishikawa Y, De Vito EL, Prado F, et al. Changing trends in the management of end-stage respiratory muscle failure in neuromuscular disease: current recommendations of an international consensus. Am J Phys Med Rehabil. 2013;92:267–77, http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/PHM.0b013e3.
- EFNS Task Force on Diagnosis and Management of Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis, Andersen PM, Abrahams S, Borasio GD, de Carvalho M, Chio A, et al. EFNS guidelines on the clinical management of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (MALS) – revised report of an EFNS task force. Eur J Neurol. 2012;19:360–75, http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-1331.2011.03501.x.
- Jacobs TL, Brown DL, Baek J, Migda EM, Funckes, Gruis KL. Trial of early noninvasive ventilation for ALS: a pilot placebo-controlled study. Neurology. 2016;87:1878–83.
- 4. Miller RG, Jackson CE, Kasarskis EJ, England JD, Forshew D, Johnston W, et al. Practice parameter update: the care of the patient with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: drug, nutritional, and respiratory therapies (an evidence-based review): report of the Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. Neurology. 2009;73:1218–26, http://dx.doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0b013e3181bc0141.
- Kleopa KA, Sherman M, Neal B, Romano GJ, Heiman-Patterson T. Bipap improves survival and rate of pulmonary function decline in patients with ALS. J Neurol Sci. 1999;164:82–8.
- Aboussouan LS, Khan SU, Meeker DP, K., H. Effect of noninvasive positive-pressure ventilation on survival in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Ann Intern Med. 1997;127:450–3.
- Kleopa KA, Sherman M, Neal B, Romano, Heiman-Patterson T. Effects of non-invasive ventilation on survival and quality of life in patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: a randomized controlled trial. Lancet Neurol. 2006;5:140–7.
- Bertella E, Banfi P, Paneroni M, Grilli S, Bianchi L, Volpato E, et al. Early initiation of nighttime NIV in an outpatient setting. A randomized non inferiority study in ALS patients. Eur J Phys Rehabil Med. 2017;53:892–9, http://dx.doi.org/10.23736/S1973-9087.17.04511-7.
- Vitacca M, Montini A, Lunetta C, Banfi P, Bertella E, De Mattia E, et al. ALS RESPILOM Study Group. Impact of an early respiratory care programme with non-invasive ventilation adaptation in patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Eur J Neurol. 2018;25:556-633, http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ene.13547.