Mapping Portuguese Research on Respiratory Diseases in Primary Care: A systematic review

Dear Editor,

Investment in respiratory disease research (RD) in primary care in Portugal is needed to assess the size of the problem and to develop appropriate clinical strategies to deal with it. The research agenda presented in 2013 in the National Health Plan and National Health Program Priorities lists a set of research needs in Primary Care. A Delphi study was published in 2016 in which consensus on national research priorities in respiratory diseases in primary care was achieved. However, there is little systematic knowledge of published research on respiratory diseases in primary care in Portugal.

We conducted a study to identify and characterise the studies on respiratory diseases carried out in Primary Care in Portugal over a decade. We assessed the issues addressed, the methodologies used, the scope and dimensions of the studies and the impact of research.

Between October and December 2015 we searched the Medline, Google Scholar, ScIELO databases, the Index of Portuguese Medical Journals, the 'grey literature' for proceedings of family medicine scientific meetings held between 2010 and 2015, and university repositories of theses and publications. We used the National Library of Medicine MeSH terms 'asthma' 'COPD' 'respiratory diseases' 'respiratory' 'pneumonia', 'respiratory infections' 'sinusitis', 'rhinitis' and 'primary care' and 'Portugal'. We included studies published between 2005 and 2015, in Portuguese or English, with at least one Portuguese author from Primary Care, or studies whose participants were Portuguese and recruited from Primary Care (Fig. 1).

A total of 235 studies were analysed, of which 67 were studies published at a national or international level and 168 were studies presented at conferences. The complete list of studies identified is available from the authors.

We found 35 studies (52%) published in national journals, 20 (30%) in international journals, and 12 (18%) academic theses (ten master’s degrees, one post-graduate and one doctorate), which were unpublished.

While 90% of all publications in international journals were cited at least once, only 40% of the national publications were cited. Only one of 12 theses was cited.

The most common methods used were cross sectional studies and literature reviews, both at a national level and international level. The other types of study methods were similarly distributed. At national conferences, reviews were more common (n = 66) than cross-sectional studies (n = 43) or case reports (n = 33).

Asthma, allergic rhinitis, smoking, and COPD were the topics most often studied. (Table 1) Studies of asthma and allergic rhinitis produced 25 publications including 15 cross-sectional studies, 3 review articles, 2 validation studies, 1 retrospective study, 2 prospective studies, 1 report and 1 quasi-experimental study. COPD was the subject of 13 publications, including 7 cross-sectional studies, 3 reviews, and 3 meta-analyses.

(Chart 1) PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) study selection process for the systematic review examining Portuguese Research on Respiratory Diseases in Primary Care.

Figure 1  PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) study selection process for the systematic review examining Portuguese Research on Respiratory Diseases in Primary Care.
one validation study, one experimental study and one quasi-experimental study. Smoking was studied in 13 publications. There were 4 studies of influenza, 4 on immunisation, and two on lung cancer.

Most published studies of respiratory research from primary care in Portugal are observational, using questionnaires or conducted by consulting clinical records. Despite their biases, they are useful in planning health care, because they help determine the prevalence of different risk factors in the population.

One experimental study\(^1\) and one quasi-experimental study\(^2\) were found among the 63 studies identified. There are fewer experimental studies in Primary Care because of the difficulties in controlling variables related to the phenomenon under study.

Few longitudinal or cohort studies were identified, although a characteristic of Primary Care is continuous follow-up of patients throughout their lives. Investment in this type of study may provide data on the evolution of RD in Portugal.

Asthma was the subject most often studied. Studies focused on prevalence, characterisation of patients, quality of life, and control of the disease. Some studies suggest that there is under-diagnosis of asthma in the Portuguese population. Improvements in diagnostic methods are required along with dissemination of diagnostic criteria among health professionals so that effective treatment can be implemented to control the disease and to improve quality of life. Simple tools like the CARAT questionnaire can assess control of allergic rhinitis and asthma. Further research in primary care may demonstrate its efficacy and effectiveness.

Smoking was addressed in 13 publications. Despite the implementation of anti-smoking laws, campaigns to prevent smoking, and the reduction in smoking that has occurred over the last decades, Portugal still has a high consumption of tobacco. The data obtained in recent research suggest the need to strengthen the anti-smoking law. There is a need as well for greater effectiveness in preventive measures, particularly among adolescents and young women. Education and prevention of smoking among medical students and other health professionals are required to enhance their efforts in smoking cessation among their patients.

Prevalence studies of COPD indicate probable under-diagnosis in clinical practice. Quality of life among COPD patients was also studied. Anxiety and depression are prevalent in these patients, regardless of the stage of COPD. Attention to mental health can promote psychological improvement among these patients.

It is hoped that this study will contribute to improvement in clinical practice. The map of studies published in the last ten years can contribute to the development of new research questions and methodological designs appropriate for Portugal.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Publications by subject and method in primary care respiratory disease research in Portugal, 2005–2015.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asthma and allergic rhinitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross sectional</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quasi-experimental</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Validation</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical report</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Further reading

Factors associated with loss to follow-up in Tuberculosis treatment in the Huambo Province, Angola

Dear Editor,

Loss to follow-up during treatment is considered one of the obstacles in the fight against tuberculosis (TB). Angola is among the top thirty countries in the world ranked by TB burden with a TB treatment coverage of 51% and a success rate of 27% for new cases and 28% for previously treated cases. The health network of Huambo province, in addition to hospitals, centres and health posts, includes a sanatorium hospital, eleven outpatient clinics and an Anti-Tuberculosis Dispensary (ATD) – responsible for the TB outpatient management.

With the aim of identifying the factors related to loss to follow-up in TB treatment in the population of Huambo we designed a prospective and retrospective study in patients followed in the ATD with a TB diagnosis between October 2015 and January 2016 (n = 353).

The data collection was performed using a questionnaire developed for this study and filled out at monthly follow-up consultations by nursing technicians and researchers in the TB field, after receiving specific training. Patients were individually questioned in a closed environment on the ATD premises to maintain privacy, after clarification of the study and informed consent. End-of-treatment results were obtained from the clinical records of the patients in the end of August 2016. Loss to follow-up was considered for patients who started treatment but did not complete it.

All statistical analysis was performed using R version 3.3.2. A univariate and multivariate logistic regression was performed with the response being loss to follow-up in TB treatment. For the multivariate analysis the complete model was determined starting with a selection of variables whose p-value was <0.10 in the univariate analysis, and using the stepwise regression method that minimizes the AIC (Akaike Information Criterion). The model discrimination ability was given by the area under the ROC curve. The significance level was set at 0.05.

The study was authorized by the General Directorate of the Sanitary Hospital of Huambo, Angola and approved by the Ethics Committee of the São João Hospital Center and the Faculty of Medicine of the University of Porto, Portugal.

Of the 353 patients who started treatment, 309 were included in the analysis, with no age limitation, both genders, new cases as well pre-treated cases. The 44 patients excluded from the analysis consisted of 42 who did not have