Mediastinitis as a complication of minimally invasive diagnostic and mediastinal staging techniques

To Editor,

The introduction of endobronchial ultrasound-guided transbronchial needle aspiration (EBUS-TBNA) and endoscopic ultrasound-guided fine-needle aspiration (EUS-FNA) has been a major advance in the diagnosis and staging of lung cancer, as well as in the study of other mediastinal lesions.1 Although they are safe techniques, complications have occurred, including pneumothorax, hemorrhage, infection, mediastinitis and mediastinal abscess, with a 50% mortality rate with the last two.2 We present two cases of mediastinitis that we have experienced recently in our hospital following these endoscopic examinations.

A 42-year-old woman diagnosed with a grade 3 breast infiltrating ductal carcinoma (stage cT2N+), was initially treated with neoadjuvant chemotherapy, left mastectomy, and monthly trastuzumab. Recurrence was confirmed through biopsy of a solitary pulmonary nodule. Later on, a chest CT revealed a well-delimited and necrotic pretracheal mediastinal adenopathy (2R) (Fig. 1A), which was biopsied by EBUS-TBNA under sedation (midazolam, 6 mg; Olympus needle, 21-gauge) and a total of 4 passes, without complications. Malignancy was confirmed. After 48 h, she started feeling general malaise, retrosternal pain radiating to the neck, odynophagia, dysphagia, fever, and erythematous, and purpuriginous maculo-papular lesions in the trunk and upper limbs. In blood analysis, we noticed a significant presence of leukocytosis and elevation of C-reactive protein. Chest CT showed increased attenuation of mediastinal fat, well-defined passage of the puncture needle through this channel causes the tissue to be contaminated and infected. Other risk factors are necrotic and cystic lesions due to their avascularity (anecdotal appearance with ultrasound) that allows the growth of bacteria without being recognized by the immune system, and, in the case of sarcoidosis, due to deterioration of the immune response in the sarcoid lymph nodes. None of these injuries should be punctured.

In order to prevent mediastinal infections, different measures have been proposed, such as the proper disinfection of the material, the careful performance of the procedure according to regulation recommendations,3 preferably carried out on intubated patients (avoiding oropharyngeal contamination, although this also has its drawbacks like deep sedation or general anesthesia, the need for an anesthesiologist in the bronchoscopy room and more prolonged procedures) and the use of prophylactic antibiotics (oral or intravenous). At present, there is a lack of evidence about when to recommend the use of prophylactic antibiotics and how to administer them,4 although some authors have considered their use in the case of cystic lymphadenopathy or necrotic areas in immunocompromised patients at high risk of developing local infections,5 or in patients with sarcoidosis. More studies are needed to investigate which situations may influence the development of infections and to see the role of prophylactic antibiotics in these cases.

In conclusion, although EBUS-TBNA and EUS-FNA are safe techniques for diagnosis and mediastinal staging, they are not exempt from complications, including mediastinitis. It is essential to follow scrupulously the recommendations of the regulations to avoid contamination of the endoscope’s channel and its subsequent spread to lymphadenopathy through the needle puncture. More studies are needed to identify the role of prophylactic antibiotics in patients who are at increased risk of developing these infections.

Abbreviations: EBUS-TNA, endobronchial ultrasound-guided transbronchial needle aspiration; EUS-FNA, endoscopic ultrasound-guided fine-needle aspiration; CT scan, computed tomography.
Figure 1  (A) Computed tomography image with contrast showing a pretracheal retrocaval mediastinal adenopathy with well-delimited necrotic center (white arrow). (B) and (C) Computed tomography images with contrast in coronal and axial planes showing mediastinal collections at left paratracheal (black arrow) and necrotic 2R adenopathy (white arrows) with thick wall enhancing. (D) Computed contrast tomography image showing small hilar (white arrows) and subcarinal (black arrows) adenopathies with punctate calcifications. (E) Contrast computed tomography image several days after EBUS, showing subcarinal liquid collection with peripheral enhancement (arrows).
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Mediastinal sarcoid-like reaction in cancer patients

Sarcoidosis is a multisystem disease of unknown origin characterized by the presence of noncaseating epithelioid cell granulomas.

When these granulomas develop in the lymph nodes, and the patient does not meet criteria for the diagnosis of systemic sarcoidosis we refer to this as a sarcoid-like reaction.\(^1\)

Because of the clinical implications of the diagnosis of lymph node metastasis, mediastinoscopy plays a key role in the differential diagnosis in these patients.

We conducted a retrospective chart review of all patients who had undergone mediastinoscopy in our hospital from 2010 to 2015 with a diagnosis of sarcoidosis or sarcoid-like reaction and history of solid organ malignancy.

Seventy-four mediastinoscopies were performed by three different surgeons. There were 9 cases of biopsy-proven presence of noncaseating epithelioid cell granulomas and the stain and the culture for acid-fast Bacilli and fungi were negative.

5 patients met criteria for the diagnosis of systemic sarcoidosis. 3 of the 4 patients who did not have a diagnosis of systemic sarcoidosis had a history of malignancy organ solid.

We present these 3 cases in order to focus on sarcoid-like reaction in cancer patients.

Cases reports

Case 1

A 39 year-old man with a previous history of testicular seminoma, in 2012 was treated with cisplatin, bleomycin, and etoposide, after the appearance of retroperitoneal lymph node metastasis. A year later in a computed tomography (CT) control intrathoracic lymph node was revealed. It showed a 15mm premediastinal and 20mm right paratracheal lymph nodes.

In positron emission tomography combined with a computed tomography scan (PET-CT) showed premediastinal and right paratracheal lymph nodes with a maxim "standardized uptake value" (SUV) of 4.8 (Fig. 1).

There was no elevation of the tumour markers beta-human chorionic gonadotropin (beta-hCG). In view of the clinical history, we suspected a recurrence of tumour lymph nodes, and a mediastinoscopy was performed. Histopathological examination of the resected lymph nodes showed a noncaseating epithelioid cell granuloma in which no tumour cells were observed. No retroperitoneal or intrathoracic lymph nodes recurrence has been observed during follow-up.

Case 2

A 47 year-old man with a previous history of rectum cancer, received chemo and radiotherapy neoadjuvant, cisplatin and capecitabine. After that, low anterior rectal resection and liver metastasectomy was performed. And the treatment was completed with 12 cycles of FOLFOX and bevacizumab.

Figure 1  (A) Axial CT in which mediastinal lymph nodes are observed. (B and C) Non-caseating granulomas associated with lymphocytic infiltrate. H&E (10× and 20×).